PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNA	ATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	ORITY			
То:					PCT	
	see form F	PCT/ISA/220			ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	Υ
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
1 .	it's or agent's file m PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2 to		
1	onal application N B2004/003183		International filing date (c 23.07.2004	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.07.2003	•••
1		 effication (IPO) or 35/00, C07D4				P
Applican		CH TECHNOL	OGY LIMITED			
ļ .			an ann an			<u></u> .
1. T	his opinion co	ntains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:		
E	Box No. I	Basis of the op	noinle			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	•	•		
×	Box No. V	Reasoned star	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such :	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	rents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication		
[Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application		
2. F	URTHER ACTI	ON				
th lr	ritten opinion o ne applicant cho	f the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examinin rity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA" be the IPEA and	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the emational Searching Authority	
8	ubmit to the IP	EA a written rep date of mailing	ly together, where appro	priate, with amend	the IPEA, the applicant is invited to iments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date,	
F	or further optio	ns, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.			
3. F	or further detai	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
	<u>.</u>		*		ore of the state of	
Name e	and malling addre	ss of the ISA:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Authorized Office	Patrice,	٠.
	A) Europara	Patent Office				Ŋ
	D-80298 N	Munich	0050	Härtinger, S	(9))	į
		19 2399 • 0 Tx: 52 189 2399 • 4465	3656 epmu 4	Telephone No. +4	49 89 2399-8289	

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003183

IAP20 Recide CT/PTO 19 JAN 2005

			MAZO NOW WINTED TO JAN 2000
_	Box N	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	regard Inguag	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the internation application application in the internation application app
	la	anguaç	plnion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	regard ssary to	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and o the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of m	aterial:
	Ø	a se	equence listing
		table	e(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forr	mat of	material:
	×	in w	rritten format
	×	in co	omputer readable form
	c. time	e of fili	ing/furnishing:
	Ø	cont	tained in the international application as filed.
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.
	×	fumi	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h	nas b ec xopies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.

Form PCT/ISA/ 237 (January 2004)

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003183

_	Во	x No. II	Priority
1.	Ø	The fo	lowing document has not been furnished:
		⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.		was no	not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document of available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has heless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Ade	ditional d	observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

WILSON GUN

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003183

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire International application,				
	claims Nos. 30-32				
bed	because:				
X	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 30-32 with respect to IA relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further details				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003183

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-3,5-32

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-32

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims, Claims

No:

1-29

see separate sheet

2. Citations and explanations

10/565308

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/003183

Re Item III.

IAP20 Resid POTIFFO 19 JAN 2006

Claims 30-32 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: WO 01/16136 A (CANCER RES CAMPAIGN TECH; AGOURON PHARMA (US)) 8 March 2001 (2001-03-08)
 - D2: WO 00/42040 A (CANCER RES CAMPAIGN TECH; CANAN KOCH STACIE S (US); WEBBER STEPHEN EV) 20 July 2000 (2000-07-20)
 - D3: CANAN KOCH S S ET AL: "Novel Tricyclic Poly(ADP-ribose) Polymerase-1 Inhibitors with Potent Anticancer Chemopotentiating Activity: Design, Synthesis, and X-ray Cocrystal Structure" JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. WASHINGTON, US, vol. 45, 2002, pages 4961-4974, XP002304613 ISSN: 0022-2623
- The invention relates basically to four independent compounds I, II, III and the phosphate of I according to claims 1-4, with each compound having first and second medical use claims and claims drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising the said compounds. Further claims 30-32 relate to methods of the treatment of the human or animal body.
- 2.1 The compound I is already known from example IIII disclosed on pages 97-98 of D2. This compound is said to be a PARP inhibitor, hence, the disclosure of D2 is also considered to take away the novelty of claims 5,8,11-14,17,20-21,24-25, 28-30. These claims do no meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

The phosphate salt of compound I is not specifically disclosed in any of the cited documents. Hence, the subject-matter of claim 4 appears to be novel in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003183

The compounds II and III are already disclosed in D1, examples 141 and 58. Since the compounds of D1 are PARP inhibitors, the subject-matter of present claims 6-7,9-13,15-16,18-20,22-24,26-29, 31-32 is not new in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Art. 33(1) PCT, because the claimed subject matter does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Art. 33(3) PCT.

All of the cited documents relate to the activity of the presently claimed compounds, namely the ability to inhibit PARP. The compounds are therefore useful to treat various forms of cancer. Given the fact that all, but the present phosphate salt of compound I, are already known for this medical utility from the prior art, and taken into consideration, that phosphate salts of the present compound type are explicitly taught by D1 (page 14, line 18) and D2 (page 13, line 19), the skilled person would have expected that the present compounds in salt form are likewise useful to treat cancer. The skilled person would also base his expectation on the established structure-activity for tricyclic compounds, which bear amino groups in para position of the 2-aryl moiety (see D3). From page 4966 of D3, the skilled person was aware that the "PARP-1 binding site appears to be fairly tolerant of a variety of functional groups at each phenyl regioisomer". He was also aware of the beneficial solubilizing effect arising from the introduction of amine groups at the said para position of the phenyl ring (see D3, page 4966, left column). Hence, the provision of the present PARP inhibitors is considered to be an obvious solution to the problem of providing further anticancer agents. Since pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof are likewise taught, of which the phosphate salt is specifically disclosed in D1 and D2, it is not apparent in how far the phosphate salt should have properties other than the beneficial solubility and medical utility already described in detail for the compounds I, II and III. The requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT are therefore not considered to be met by the present claims.